arXiv:1109.3554v1 [math.DG] 16 Sep 2011

SOME EXAMPLES OF SELF-SIMILAR SOLUTIONS AND TRANSLATING SOLITONS FOR LAGRANGIAN MEAN CURVATURE FLOW

Hiroshi Nakahara

Department of Mathematics
Tokyo Institute of Technology
2-21-1 O-okayama, Megro
Tokyo 152-8551 Japan

E-mail address: nakahara.h.ab@m.titech.ac.jp

September 19, 2011

Abstract

We construct new examples of self-similar solutions and translating solitons for Lagrangian mean curvature flow by extending the method of Joyce, Lee and Tsui [3]. Those examples include examples in which the Lagrangian angle is arbitrarily small as the examples of Joyce, Lee and Tsui [3].

1 Introduction

In recent years the Lagrangian mean curvature flow has been extensively studied, as it is a key ingredient in the Strominger-Yau-Zaslow Conjecture and Thomas-Yau Conjecture. Strominger-Yau-Zaslow Conjecture explains Mirror Symmetry of Calabi-Yau 3-folds. In Joyce, Lee and Tsui [3], many examples of self-similar solutions and translating solitons for Lagrangian mean curvature flow are constructed. Those Lagrangian submanifold L are the total space of a 1-parameter family of quadrics Q_s, $s \in I$, where I is an open interval in $\mathbb{R}$. In this paper, we construct new examples of those Lagrangian submanifolds that link up with the examples of Lagrangian submanifolds given in [1], [2], [3], [4] and so on. To do so we improve theorems in [3] by describing Lagrangian submanifolds of the forms of Ansatz 3.1 and Ansatz 3.3 in [3].

Let L be a Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Define a function $c : L \to \mathbb{C}$ by the relation that

$$\mathrm{d}z_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}z_n|_L \equiv c \cdot \mathrm{vol}_L$$

where vol_L is the volume form of L. Then $|c| \equiv 1$ holds. So we can define *Lagrangian angle* $\theta : L \to \mathbb{R}$ or $\theta : L \to \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ by the relation that

$$\mathrm{d}z_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}z_n|_L \equiv e^{i\theta}\mathrm{vol}_L.$$

On a Lagrangian submanifold L in $\mathbb{C}^n$, the mean curvature vector H is given by

$$H = J\nabla\theta, \tag{1}$$

where ∇ is the gradient on L and J is the standard complex structure in $\mathbb{C}^n$. The proof of (1) is given in [5].

Definition 1.1. Let $L \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^N$. L is called a *self-similar solution* if $H \equiv \alpha F^{\perp}$ on L for some constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, where $F^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal projection of the position vector F in $\mathbb{R}^N$ to the normal bundle of L, and H is the mean curvature vector of L in $\mathbb{R}^N$. It is called a *self-shrinker* if $\alpha < 0$ and a *self-expander* if $c > 0$. On the other hand $L \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is called a *translating soliton* if there exists a constant vector T in $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that $H \equiv T^{\perp}$, where $T^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal projection of the constant vector T in $\mathbb{R}^N$ to the normal bundle of L and H is the mean curvature vector of L in $\mathbb{R}^N$. We call T a *translating vector.*

It is well-known that if F is a self-similar solution then $F_t = \sqrt{2\alpha t}F$ is moved by the mean curvature flow, and if F is a translating soliton then $F_t = F + tT$ is also moved by the mean curvature flow.

First we consider self-similar solutions.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $B, C, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, $E > 1$, $a_1, \cdots, a_n > 0$, *and* $\alpha, \psi_1, \cdots, \psi_n \in \mathbb{R}$ *be constants. Let* $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ *be a connected open neighborhood of* $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that* $E\{\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + 2a_k B\lambda_k s)\}e^{2B\alpha s} - 1$ *and* $1/a_j + 2\lambda_j Bs$ *are positive for any* $j \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ *and any* $s \in I$. *Define* $r_1, \cdots, r_n : I \to \mathbb{R}$ *by*

$$r_j = \sqrt{\frac{1}{a_j} + 2\lambda_j Bs}.$$

Define $\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_n : I \to \mathbb{R}$ *by*

$$\phi_j = \psi_j + \int_0^s \frac{\lambda_j |B|}{(\frac{1}{a_j} + 2\lambda_j Bt)\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + 2a_k B\lambda_k t)\}e^{2B\alpha t} - 1}}\mathrm{d}t.$$

Then the submanifold L *in* $\mathbb{C}^n$ *given by*

$$L = \{(x_1 r_1(s)e^{i\phi_1(s)}, \cdots, x_n r_n(s)e^{i\phi_n(s)}) | \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j^2 = C, x_j \in \mathbb{R}, s \in I\}$$

is an immersed Lagrangian submanifold, and its position vector F and mean curvature vector H satisfy $CH \equiv \alpha F^{\perp}$.

Remark 1.2.1. In the situation of Theorem 1.2, let $I' \subset I$ be a subinterval such that r_j has positive lower and upper bounds. Put $\alpha \neq 0, n \geq 2, \lambda_j > 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq k < n$ and $\lambda_j < 0$ for $k < j \leq n$ where k is a positive integer less than n. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ be a constant. Define

$$L_t = \{(x_1 r_1(s) e^{i\phi_1(s)}, \cdots, x_n r_n(s) e^{i\phi_n(s)}) | \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j x_j^2 = 2\alpha t, x_j \in \mathbb{R}, s \in I'\}.$$

The fact that the varifold $\cup_t L_t$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, forms an eternal solution for Brakke flow without mass loss is proved similarly to Lee and Wang [4]. So see Lee and Wang [4]. By Theorem 1.2, L_t is a Lagrangian self-shrinker if $t < 0$, a Lagrangian self-expander if $t > 0$, and a Lagrangian cone if $t = 0$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $a_j > 0$, $\psi_j \in \mathbb{R}, @E > 1$, and $\alpha \geq 0$ be constants. Define $r_j(s) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $r_j(s) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{a_j} + s^2}$. Define $\phi_j(s) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by*

$$\phi_j(s) = \psi_j + \int_0^s \frac{|t|}{(\frac{1}{a_j} + t^2)\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + a_k t^2)\} e^{\alpha t^2} - 1}} \mathrm{d}t. \tag{2}$$

Then the submanifold L in $\mathbb{C}^n$ given by

$$L = \{(x_1 r_1(s) e^{i\phi_1(s)}, \cdots, x_n r_n(s) e^{i\phi_n(s)}) | \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^2 = 1, x_j \in \mathbb{R}, s \in \mathbb{R}, \; s \neq 0\}$$

is an immersed Lagrangian diffeomorphic to $(\mathbb{R} - \{0\}) \times S^{n-1}$, and its position vector F and mean curvature vector H satisfy $H \equiv \alpha F^{\perp}$.

Remark 1.3.1. The manifold obtained as $\lim_{E \to 1+0} L$ is the same as Theorem C in [3] . So the condition $s \neq 0$ on the definition of L is not necessary if $E = 1$. If we put $E = 1$ then changing $0 \mapsto -\infty$ in the integral of (2) gives the example of [2].

Remark 1.3.2. Define $\bar{\phi}_1, \cdots, \bar{\phi}_n > 0$ by

$$\bar{\phi}_j = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{|t|}{(\frac{1}{a_j} + t^2)\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + a_k t^2)\} e^{\alpha t^2} - 1}} \mathrm{d}t.$$

We put $\alpha > 0$. From the proof of Theorem 1.3 and (6) in § 2 the Lagrangian angle θ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \sum_j \phi_j + \arg(s + i \frac{|s|}{\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + a_k s^2)\} e^{\alpha s^2} - 1}}) \quad \text{and} \\ \dot{\theta} &= \frac{-\alpha |s|}{\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + a_k s^2)\} e^{\alpha s^2} - 1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

It follows that θ is strictly decreasing. We define the submanifold $L_1 \subset L$ by restricting $s > 0$ and $L_2 \subset L$ by restricting $s < 0$. Therefore we have $L = L_1 \cup L_2$. We rewrite θ_1, θ_2 as the Lagrangian angle of L_1, L_2 respectively. Then $\lim_{s\to+\infty}\theta_1(s) < \theta_1(s) < \lim_{s\to 0+0}\theta_1(s)$ and $\lim_{s\to 0-0}\theta_2(s) < \theta_2(s) < \lim_{s\to-\infty}\theta_2(s)$. So from the first equation of (3) we have

$$\sum_j \psi_j + \sum_j \bar{\phi}_j < \theta_1 < \sum_j \psi_j + \tan^{-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{E-1}}$$

and

$$\sum_j \psi_j + \pi - \tan^{-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{E-1}} < \theta_2 < \sum_j \psi_j + \pi - \sum_j \bar{\phi}_j.$$

Therefore by choosing $\tan^{-1}(1/\sqrt{E-1})$ close to 0, that is, choosing E close to ∞, the oscillation of the Lagrangian angle of L_1, L_2 can be made arbitrarily small. Furthermore $\Phi : (a_1, \cdots, a_n) \mapsto (\bar{\phi}_1, \cdots, \bar{\phi}_n)$ gives a diffeomorphism

$$\Phi : (0,\infty)^n \to \{(\bar{\phi}_1, \cdots, \bar{\phi}_n) \in (0, \tan^{-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{E-1}})^n \,|\, 0 < \sum_j \bar{\phi}_j < \tan^{-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{E-1}}\}.$$

We can prove that Φ is a diffeomorphism similarly to the proof of Theorem D in [3]. So we omit the proof. Therefore by choosing $\sum_j \bar{\phi}_j$ close to $\tan^{-1}(1/\sqrt{E-1})$, the oscillation of the Lagrangian angle of L_1, L_2 can also be made arbitrarily small.

Remark 1.3.3. If we put $B = 1/2$, $C = \lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_n = 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$ in the situation of Theorem 1.2 then L is the same as L in Theorem 1.3 where $s > 0$.

Next we turn to translating solitons.

Theorem 1.4. *Let* $B, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, $E > 1$, $a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1} > 0$, *and* $\alpha, \psi_1, \cdots, \psi_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$, $K \in \mathbb{C}$ *be constants. Let* $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ *be a connected open neighborhood of* $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that* $E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}(1 + 2a_k B\lambda_k s)\}e^{2B\alpha s} - 1$ *and* $1/a_j + 2\lambda_j Bs$ *are positive for any* $j \in \{1, \cdots, n-1\}$ *and any* $s \in I$. *Define* $r_1, \cdots, r_{n-1} : I \to \mathbb{R}$ *by*

$$r_j = \sqrt{\frac{1}{a_j} + 2\lambda_j Bs}.$$

Define $\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_{n-1} : I \to \mathbb{R}$ *by*

$$\phi_j = \psi_j + \int_0^s \frac{\lambda_j |B|}{(\frac{1}{a_j} + 2\lambda_j Bt)\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}(1 + 2a_k B\lambda_k t)\}e^{2B\alpha t} - 1}} dt.$$

Then the submanifold L in $\mathbb{C}^n$ given by

$$L = \{(x_1 r_1(s) e^{i\phi_1(s)}, \cdots, x_{n-1} r_{n-1}(s) e^{i\phi_{n-1}(s)}, -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j x_j^2 + Bs +$$

$$i|B| \int_0^s \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}(1 + 2a_k B \lambda_k t)\} e^{2B\alpha t} - 1}} + K) | x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}, s \in I\}$$

is an immersed Lagrangian submanifold, and its mean curvature vector H satisfy $H \equiv T^{\perp}$ where $T = (0, \cdots, 0, \alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1} > 0$, $\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}, @E > 1$, and $\alpha \geq 0$ be constants. Define $r_j(s) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $r_j(s) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{a_j} + s^2}$. Define $\phi_j(s) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by*

$$\phi_j(s) = \psi_j + \int_0^s \frac{|t|}{(\frac{1}{a_j} + t^2)\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}(1 + a_k t^2)\} e^{\alpha t^2} - 1}} \mathrm{d}t.$$

Then the submanifold L in $\mathbb{C}^n$ given by

$$L = \{(x_1 r_1(s) e^{i\phi_1(s)}, \cdots, x_{n-1} r_{n-1}(s) e^{i\phi_{n-1}(s)}, -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j^2 + \frac{1}{2}s^2 +$$

$$i \int_0^s \frac{|t| \mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}(1 + a_k t^2)\} e^{\alpha t^2} - 1}}) | x_1 \cdots, x_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}, s \in \mathbb{R},\ s \neq 0\}$$

is an immersed Lagrangian diffeomorphic to $(\mathbb{R} - \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, and its mean curvature vector H satisfy $H \equiv T^{\perp}$ where $T = (0, \cdots, 0, \alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Remark 1.5.1. If we put $\psi_1 = \cdots = \psi_{n-1} = 0$ then the manifold obtained as $\lim_{E \to 1+0} L$ is the same as Theorem G in [3] . So the condition $s \neq 0$ on the definition of L is not necessary if $E = 1$.

Remark 1.5.2. We define the submanifold $L_1 \subset L$ by restricting $s > 0$ and $L_2 \subset L$ by restricting $s < 0$. Similarly to Remark 1.3.2 if we fix $\alpha > 0$ then by choosing the parameters $a_1, \cdots, a_n > 0$ or $E > 1$, the oscillation of the Lagrangian angle of L_1, L_2 can be made arbitrarily small.

Remark 1.5.3. If we put $B = 1/2$, $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_{n-1} = 1$, $K = 0$, $\alpha \geq 0$ in the situation of Theorem 1.4 then L is the same as L in Theorem 1.5 where $s > 0$.

2 Proofs for self-similar solutions

In order to prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 we use the following Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 that are a slight generalization of Theorem A and Theorem B in [3]. The following Theorem 2.1 sets up the ordinary differential equations for immersed Lagrangian submanifolds diffeorphic to $\mathcal{S}^1 \times \mathcal{S}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ or $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ where $1 \leq m \leq n$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval. Let $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n, C \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ be constants, and $\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ and $f : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ be smooth functions. Suppose that*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_j}{\mathrm{d}s} = \frac{\lambda_j f}{\overline{\omega_j}}, \quad j = 1, \cdots, n \tag{4}$$

hold in I. Then the submanifold L in $\mathbb{C}^n$ given by

$$L = \{(x_1\omega_1(s), \cdots, x_n\omega_n(s)) | \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j x_j^2 = C, x_j \in \mathbb{R}, s \in I\} \tag{5}$$

is a Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbb{C}^n$, with Lagrangian angle

$$\theta(s) = \arg(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n f) \tag{6}$$

at $(x_1\omega_1(s), \cdots, x_n\omega_n(s)) \in L$, so θ is a function depending only on s, not on $x_1, \cdots, x_n$. Further we have

$$H = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle} J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)$$

and

$$F^{\perp} = -\frac{C\,\mathrm{Im}(f)}{\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle} J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right).$$

Remark 2.1.1. A direct calculation shows that

$$\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_j^2 x_j^2 |f|^2}{|\omega_j|^2}.$$

Remark 2.1.2. Let $\tilde{\theta} : I \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\theta}}{\mathrm{d}s} = -\alpha\,\mathrm{Im}(e^{i\tilde{\theta}}\,\overline{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n}).$$

Put

$$f = e^{i\tilde{\theta}}\,\overline{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n}.$$

Then it is proved in [3] that L is a Lagrangian submanifold and L is a self-similar solution. This is Theorem A in [3].

Corollary 2.2. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval. Let $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n, C \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ be constants, and $\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ and $f : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ be smooth functions. Suppose that*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_j}{\mathrm{d}s} = \frac{\lambda_j f}{\overline{\omega_j}}, \quad j = 1, \cdots, n$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \arg(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n f) = -\alpha \mathrm{Im}(f)$$

hold in I. Then the submanifold L_C in $\mathbb{C}^n$ given by

$$L_C = \{(x_1\omega_1(s), \cdots, x_n\omega_n(s)) | \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j x_j^2 = C, x_j \in \mathbb{R}, s \in I\}$$

is a Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and its position vector F and mean curvature vector H satisfy $CH \equiv \alpha F^{\perp}$. Moreover, If $|\omega_j|$ has positive lower and upper bounds and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, n \geq 2, \lambda_j > 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq k < n$ and $\lambda_j < 0$ for $k < j \leq n$ where k is a positive integer less than n then the varifold $\cup_t L_{2\alpha t}$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, forms an eternal solution for Brakke flow without mass loss. The fact is proved similarly to Lee and Wang [4]. Then $L_{2\alpha t}$ is a Lagrangian self-shrinker if $t < 0$, a Lagrangian self-expander if $t > 0$, and a Lagrangian cone if $t = 0$.

The following Theorem 2.3 gives the solution to ordinary deferential equations in Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.3. *In the situation of Theorem 2.1 write $\omega_j := r_j e^{i\phi_j}$, for functions $r_1, \cdots, r_n : I \to (0, \infty), \phi_1, \cdots, \phi_n : I \to \mathbb{R}$. Fix $s_0 \in I$. Define $u : I \to \mathbb{R}$ by*

$$u(s) := 2\int_{s_0}^{s} \mathrm{Re}(f(t))\mathrm{d}t.$$

Then we have

$$r_j^2 = \alpha_j + \lambda_j u, \quad \phi_j = \psi_j + \int_{s_0}^{s} \frac{\lambda_j \,\mathrm{Im}(f(t))}{\alpha_j + \lambda_j u(t)}\mathrm{d}t,$$

with $\alpha_j = r_j^2(s_0), \quad \psi_j = \phi_j(s_0)$.

Remark 2.3.1. Thus if f is explicitly given, the ordinary differential equation (4) is solved by Theorem 2.3.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. If we set

$$x_n = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda_n}(C - \lambda_1 {x_1}^2 - \cdots - \lambda_{n-1} {x_{n-1}}^2)},$$

then $(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, s)$ is a coordinate of L. With this coordinate we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\mathrm{d}z_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}_1 + \cdots + \mathrm{d}z_n \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}_n)|_L\\
=&(\omega_1 \mathrm{d}x_1 + x_1\dot{\omega}_1 \mathrm{d}s) \wedge (\overline{\omega_1}\mathrm{d}x_1 + x_1\overline{\dot{\omega}_1}\mathrm{d}s) + \cdots +\\
&(\omega_{n-1}\mathrm{d}x_{n-1} + x_{n-1}\dot{\omega}_{n-1}\mathrm{d}s) \wedge (\overline{\omega_{n-1}}\mathrm{d}x_{n-1} + x_{n-1}\overline{\dot{\omega}_{n-1}}\mathrm{d}s) +\\
&(-\frac{\lambda_1 x_1}{\lambda_n x_n}\omega_n \mathrm{d}x_1 - \cdots - \frac{\lambda_{n-1}x_{n-1}}{\lambda_n x_n}\omega_n \mathrm{d}x_{n-1} + x_n\dot{\omega}_n \mathrm{d}s) \wedge\\
&(-\frac{\lambda_1 x_1}{\lambda_n x_n}\overline{\omega_n}\mathrm{d}x_1 - \cdots - \frac{\lambda_{n-1}x_{n-1}}{\lambda_n x_n}\overline{\omega_n}\mathrm{d}x_{n-1} + x_n\overline{\dot{\omega}_n}\mathrm{d}s)\\
=&2\mathrm{Im}(x_1\omega_1\overline{\dot{\omega}_1})\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}s + \cdots + 2\mathrm{Im}(x_{n-1}\omega_{n-1}\overline{\dot{\omega}_{n-1}})\mathrm{d}x_{n-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}s\\
&+ 2\mathrm{Im}(-\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_n}x_1\omega_n\overline{\dot{\omega}_n})\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}s + \cdots + 2\mathrm{Im}(-\frac{\lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n}x_{n-1}\omega_n\overline{\dot{\omega}_n})\mathrm{d}x_{n-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}s\\
=&0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{d}z_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}z_n|_L\\
=&\begin{vmatrix}
\omega_1 & \cdots & \cdots & x_1\dot{\omega}_1\\
 & \ddots & 0 & \vdots\\
0 & & \omega_{n-1} & x_{n-1}\dot{\omega}_{n-1}\\
-\frac{\lambda_1 x_1}{\lambda_n x_n}\omega_n & \cdots & -\frac{\lambda_{n-1}x_{n-1}}{\lambda_n x_n}\omega_n & x_n\dot{\omega}_n
\end{vmatrix}
\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{n-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}s\\
=&\frac{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n}{\lambda_n x_n}(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\lambda_j x_j^2\dot{\omega}_j}{\omega_j})\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{n-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}s\\
=&\frac{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n f}{\lambda_n x_n}\sum_j \frac{\lambda_j^2 x_j^2}{|\omega_j|^2}\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{n-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that L is a nonsingular immersed Lagrangian, with Lagrangian angle

$$\theta(s) = \arg(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n f)$$

at $(x_1\omega_1(s), \cdots, x_n\omega_n(s))$. Since

$$\mathrm{d}\theta = \dot{\theta}\mathrm{d}s,$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\rangle &= \langle (x_1\dot{\omega}_1(s), \cdots, x_n\dot{\omega}_n(s)), (0, \cdots, 0, \omega_j, 0, \cdots, 0, -\frac{\lambda_j x_j}{\lambda_n x_n}\omega_n)\rangle\\
&= \mathrm{Re}(x_j\dot{\omega}_j\overline{\omega_j} - \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_n}x_j\dot{\omega}_n\overline{\omega_n})\\
&= x_j\lambda_j \,\mathrm{Re}(f - f)\\
&= 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, it follows that

$$\nabla\theta = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\rangle}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$H = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\rangle}J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right).$$

The normal projection of the position vector F is computed by

$$\begin{aligned}\langle F, J\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\rangle &= \langle(x_1\omega_1, \cdots, x_n\omega_n), i(0, \cdots, 0, \omega_j, 0, \cdots, 0, -\frac{\lambda_j x_j}{\lambda_n x_n}\omega_n)\rangle \\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle F, J\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\rangle &= \langle(x_1\omega_1, \cdots, x_n\omega_n), i(x_1\dot{\omega}_1(s), \cdots, x_n\dot{\omega}_n(s))\rangle \\ &= \mathrm{Re}(\sum {x_j}^2\overline{\omega_j}\cdot i\dot{\omega}_j) \\ &= -C\,\mathrm{Im}(f).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$F^{\perp} = -\frac{C\,\mathrm{Im}(f)}{\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\rangle}J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. ☐

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Since we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}r_j^2}{\mathrm{d}s} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}(\omega_j\overline{\omega_j}) = \lambda_j f + \lambda_j\bar{f} = 2\lambda_j\,\mathrm{Re}(f),$$

we obtain

$$r_j^2 = \alpha_j + \lambda_j u.$$

So we have

$$\dot{r}_j = \frac{\lambda_j\,\mathrm{Re}f}{r_j}.$$

By our assumption we have

$$\dot{\omega}_j = \frac{\lambda_j f}{\overline{\omega_j}}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\dot{r}_j e^{i\phi_j} + r_j i\dot{\phi}_j e^{i\phi_j} = \frac{\lambda_j f}{r_j e^{-i\phi_j}}.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{\lambda_j\,\mathrm{Re}(f)}{r_j} + r_j i\dot{\phi}_j = \frac{\lambda_j f}{r_j}.$$

Then

$$\dot{\phi}_j = \frac{\lambda_j \operatorname{Im}(f)}{\alpha_j + \lambda_j u}.$$

Therefore

$$\phi_j = \psi_j + \int_{s_0}^{s} \frac{\lambda_j \operatorname{Im}(f(t))}{\alpha_j + \lambda_j u(t)} \mathrm{d}t.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3. $\square$

From Theorem 2.1 if $\dot{\theta} = -\alpha \operatorname{Im}(f)$ then L is a self-similar solution. From Theorem 2.3 and (6), $\dot{\theta} = -\alpha \operatorname{Im}(f)$ is equivalent to

$$\sum_j \frac{\lambda_j \operatorname{Im}(f)}{\alpha_j + 2\lambda_j \int_{s_0}^{s} \operatorname{Re}(f)\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\arg(f) = -\alpha \operatorname{Im}(f). \tag{7}$$

Therefore if (7) holds then L is a self-similar solution. So we can get self-similar solutions by getting solutions of (7). For example if we put $f \equiv i$ then f is a solution of (7). In this case if we put $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_n = 0$, $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ then the construction reduces to that of Lee and Wang [4].

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Define $\omega_j : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ by $\omega_j = r_j e^{i\phi_j}$. Define $f : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ by

$$f = \frac{\overline{\omega_j}\, \dot{\omega}_j}{\lambda_j}.$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$f = B + i\frac{|B|}{\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n}(1 + 2a_k B\lambda_k s)\}e^{2B\alpha s} - 1}}.$$

Then $f \neq 0$ in I. Apply Theorem 2.1 to the data ω_j, f above. Then we get a Lagrangian submanifold L defined by (5). A direct calculation shows that f satisfies (7). So this completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Put $I = \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ or $I = \mathbb{R}_{<0}$. Define $\omega_j : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ by $\omega_j = r_j e^{i\phi_j}$. Define $f : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ by

$$f = \overline{\omega_j}\, \dot{\omega}_j.$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$f = s + i\frac{|s|}{\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n}(1 + a_k s^2)\}e^{\alpha s^2} - 1}}.$$

Then $f \neq 0$ in I. Apply Theorem 2.1 to the data ω_j, f above and $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_n = C = 1$. Then we get a Lagrangian submanifold L defined by (5). A direct calculation shows that f satisfies (7). So this completes the proof. $\square$

3 Proofs for translating solitons

In order to prove Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 we use the following Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 that are a slight generalization of Theorem A and Theorem B in [3]. The following Theorem 3.1 sets up the ordinary differential equations for immersed Lagrangian submanifolds diffeorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 3.1. *Fix* $n \geq 2$. *Let* $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$ *and* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ *be constants,* I *be an open interval in* $\mathbb{R}$*, and* $\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_{n-1} : I \to \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ *and* $\beta : I \to \mathbb{C}$ *be smooth functions. Suppose that*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_j}{\mathrm{d}s} = \frac{\lambda_j}{\overline{\omega_j}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}\beta}{\mathrm{d}s} \tag{8}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\beta}{\mathrm{d}s} \neq 0$$

hold in I. *Then the submanifold* L *in* $\mathbb{C}^n$ *given by*

$$\begin{aligned} L = \{(x_1\omega_1(s), \cdots, x_{n-1}\omega_{n-1}(s), -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_j x_j^2 + \beta(s))| \\ x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}, s \in I\} \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

is an immersed Lagrangian submanifolds diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$*, with Lagrangian angle*

$$\theta(s) = \arg(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{n-1}\dot{\beta}). \tag{10}$$

Further we have

$$H = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\rangle} J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)$$

and

$$T^{\perp} = \frac{-\alpha\,\mathrm{Im}(\dot{\beta})}{\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\rangle} J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right),$$

where $T = (0, \cdots, 0, \alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ *is a constant vector.*

Remark 3.1.1. A direct calculation shows that

$$\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j^2 x_j^2 |\dot{\beta}|^2}{|\omega_j|^2} + |-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_j x_j^2 + \beta|^2.$$

Remark 3.1.2. Let $\tilde{\theta} : I \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\theta}}{\mathrm{d}s} = -\alpha\,\mathrm{Im}(e^{i\tilde{\theta}}\,\overline{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{n-1}}).$$

Suppose that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\beta}{\mathrm{d}s} = e^{i\tilde{\theta}}\,\overline{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{n-1}}.$$

hold in I. Then it is proved in [3] that L is a Lagrangian submanifold and L is a translating soliton with translating vector $(0, \cdots, 0, \alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. This is Theorem G in [3].

The following Corollary 3.2 gives the solution to ordinary deferential equations in Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. *In the situation of Theorem 3.1, write* $\omega_j := r_j e^{i\phi_j}$*, for functions* $r_1, \cdots, r_{n-1} : I \to (0, \infty), \phi_1, \cdots, \phi_{n-1} : I \to \mathbb{R}$*. Define* $u : I \to \mathbb{R}$ *by*

$$u(s) := 2\int_{s_0}^{s} \mathrm{Re}(\dot{\beta}(t))\mathrm{d}t.$$

Then we have

$$r_j^2 = \alpha_j + \lambda_j u, \quad \phi_j = \psi_j + \int_{s_0}^{s} \frac{\lambda_j \,\mathrm{Im}(\dot{\beta}(t))}{\alpha_j + \lambda_j u(t)}\mathrm{d}t$$

with $\alpha_j = r_j^2(s_0), \quad \psi_j = \phi_j(s_0)$.

Remark 3.2.1. Thus if β is explicitly given, the ordinary differential equation (8) is solved by Theorem 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We consider the map

$$\iota : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times I \to \mathbb{C}^n$$

given by

$$\iota((x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}), s) = (x_1\omega_1(s), \cdots, x_{n-1}\omega_{n-1}(s), \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_j x_j^2 + \beta(s)).$$

Then we have $L = \iota(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times I)$. So $(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, s)$ is a coordinate of L. With this coordinate we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathrm{d}z_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}_1 + \cdots + \mathrm{d}z_n \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}_n)|_L \\
=&(\omega_1 \mathrm{d}x_1 + x_1\dot{\omega}_1\mathrm{d}s) \wedge (\overline{\omega_1}\mathrm{d}x_1 + x_1\overline{\dot{\omega}_1}\mathrm{d}s) + \cdots + \\
&(\omega_{n-1}\mathrm{d}x_{n-1} + \dot{\omega}_{n-1}\mathrm{d}s) \wedge (\overline{\omega_{n-1}}\mathrm{d}x_{n-1} + x_{n-1}\overline{\dot{\omega}_{n-1}}\mathrm{d}s) \\
&+ (-\lambda_1 x_1 \mathrm{d}x_1 - \cdots - \lambda_{n-1}x_{n-1}\mathrm{d}x_{n-1} + \dot{\beta}(s)\mathrm{d}s) \\
&\wedge (-\lambda_1 x_1 \mathrm{d}x_1 - \cdots - \lambda_{n-1}x_{n-1}\mathrm{d}x_{n-1} + \overline{\dot{\beta}(s)}\mathrm{d}s) \\
=&2i\mathrm{Im}(x_1\omega_1\overline{\dot{\omega}_1})\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}s + \cdots + 2i\mathrm{Im}(x_{n-1}\omega_{n-1}\overline{\dot{\omega}_{n-1}})\mathrm{d}x_{n-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}s \\
&- 2i\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_1 x_1\overline{\dot{\beta}})\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}s - \cdots - 2i\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_{n-1}x_{n-1}\overline{\dot{\beta}})\mathrm{d}x_{n-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}s \\
=&0
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{d}z_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}z_n|_L \\
=&(\omega_1 \mathrm{d}x_1 + x_1\dot{\omega}_1 \mathrm{d}s) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\omega_{n-1}\mathrm{d}x_{n-1} + x_{n-1}\dot{\omega}_{n-1}\mathrm{d}s)\wedge \\
&(-\lambda_1 x_1 \mathrm{d}x_1 - \cdots - \lambda_{n-1}x_{n-1}\mathrm{d}x_{n-1} + \dot{\beta}\mathrm{d}s) \\
=&\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{n-1}\dot{\beta}(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j^2 x_j^2}{|\omega_j|^2})\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{n-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that L is a nonsingular immersed Lagrangian, with Lagrangian angle

$$\theta = \arg(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{n-1}\dot{\beta}).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \rangle \\
=&\langle (x_1\dot{\omega}_1(s), \cdots, x_{n-1}\dot{\omega}_{n-1}(s), \dot{\beta}), (0, \cdots, 0, \omega_j, 0, \cdots, 0, -\lambda_j x_j)\rangle \\
=&\mathrm{Re}(\overline{\omega_j} x_j \dot{\omega}_j - \lambda_j x_j \dot{\beta}) \\
=&0,
\end{aligned}$$

and $\mathrm{d}\theta = \dot{\theta}\mathrm{d}s$, it follows that

$$\nabla\theta = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\rangle}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$H = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\rangle} J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right).$$

The normal projection of the position vector F is computed by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle T, J\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\rangle \\
=&\langle (0, \cdots, 0, \alpha), i(0, \cdots, 0, \omega_j, 0, \cdots, 0, -\lambda_j x_j)\rangle \\
=&0
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T, J\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\rangle =&\langle (0, \cdots, 0, \alpha), i(x_1\dot{\omega}_1(s), \cdots, x_{n-1}\dot{\omega}_{n-1}(s), \dot{\beta})\rangle \\
=&\mathrm{Re}(\alpha i \dot{\beta}) \\
=&-\alpha\,\mathrm{Im}(\dot{\beta}).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$T^{\perp} = -\frac{\alpha\,\mathrm{Im}(\dot{\beta})}{\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\rangle} J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. ☐

As the proof of Theorem G in [3] there is an another proof of Theorem 3.1 which obtains Theorem 3.1 from Theorem 2.1 by a limiting procedure. See Joyce, Lee and tsui [3].

Proof of Corollary 3.2. Changing f to $\dot\beta$ in the proof of Theorem 2.3 gives the proof of Corollary 3.2. ☐

From Theorem 3.1 if $\dot\theta = -\alpha\,\mathrm{Im}(\dot\beta)$ then L is a translating soliton with translating vector $T = (0, \cdots, 0, \alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. From Theorem 3.2 and (10), $\dot\theta = -\alpha\,\mathrm{Im}(\dot\beta)$ is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j\,\mathrm{Im}(\dot\beta)}{\alpha_j + 2\lambda_j \int_{s_0}^{s} \mathrm{Re}(\dot\beta)\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\arg(\dot\beta) = -\alpha\,\mathrm{Im}(\dot\beta). \tag{11}$$

Therefore if (11) holds then L is a translating soliton with translating vector $T = (0, \cdots, 0, \alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Define $\omega_j : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ by $\omega_j = r_j e^{i\phi_j}$. Define $\beta : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ by

$$\dot\beta = \frac{\overline{\omega_j}\,\dot\omega_j}{\lambda_j} \text{ and } \beta(0) = -K.$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$\beta(s) = \int_0^s \Big(B + i\frac{|B|}{\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}(1 + 2a_k B\lambda_k s)\}e^{2B\alpha s} - 1}}\Big)\mathrm{d}s + K.$$

Then $\dot\beta \neq 0$ in I. Apply Theorem 3.1 to the data ω_j, β above. Then we get a Lagrangian submanifold L defined by (9). A direct calculation shows that β satisfies (11). So this completes the proof. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Put $I = \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ or $I = \mathbb{R}_{<0}$. Define $\omega_j : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ by $\omega_j = r_j e^{i\phi_j}$. Define $\beta : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ by

$$\dot\beta = \overline{\omega_j}\,\dot\omega_j \text{ and } \beta(0) = 0.$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$\beta(s) = \int_0^s \Big(s + i\frac{|s|}{\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}(1 + a_k s^2)\}e^{\alpha s^2} - 1}}\Big)\mathrm{d}s.$$

Then $\dot\beta \neq 0$ in I. Apply Theorem 3.1 to the data ω_j, β above and $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_{n-1} = 1$. Then we get a Lagrangian submanifold L defined by (9). A direct calculation shows that β satisfies (11). So this completes the proof. ☐

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the supervisor Akito Futaki. He also wishes to thank Masataka Shibata, Yuji Terashima, Mitutaka Murayama and Kota Hattori for useful conversations.

References

[1] H. Anciaux. Construction of Lagrangian self-similar solutions to the mean curvature flow in $\mathbb{C}^n$. *Geom. Dedicata*, 120:37–48, 2006.

[2] D.D. Joyce. Special Lagrangian submanifolds with isolated conical singularities. V. Survey and applications. *J. Differential Geom.*, 63(2):279–347, 2003.

[3] D.D. Joyce, Y.-I. Lee, and M.-P. Tsui. Self-similar solutions and translating solitons for Lagrangian mean curvature flow. *J. Differential Geom.*, 84(1):127–161, 2010.

[4] Y.-I. Lee and M.-T. Wang. Hamiltonian stationary cones and self-similar solutions in higher dimension. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(3):1491–1503, 2010.

[5] R. P. Thomas and S.-T. Yau. Special Lagrangians, stable bundles and mean curvature flow. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 10(5):1075–1113, 2002.